## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Case No. 3:16cr159

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

:

TIMOTHY WRIGHT, JUDGE WALTER H. RICE

Defendant.

DECISION AND ENTRY OVERRULING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO REVOKE DETENTION ORDER/MOTION FOR BOND (DOC. #19), WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO RENEWAL SUBEQUENT TO A STATED EVENT

Defendant has entered a plea of guilty to a charge of possession of child pornography
--- visual depictions involved in the offense involve prepubescent minors, a charge which carries
a mandatory minimum sentence of ten years up to twenty years of incarceration, as well as a
period of supervised release of at least five years which could be extended to the rest of the
Defendant's life. His sentencing date is July 25, 2017. On April 21, 2017, he was referred to the
Forensic Psychiatry Center for Western Ohio for purposes of a mental status evaluation. That
evaluation is pending.

Given the status of the Defendant's case, his request for relief from the detention order is governed by Title 18 U.S.C. §3143(a) which mandates that the Judge order detention, unless that judicial officer finds by clear and convincing evidence that the person is not likely to flee or pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if released. Pursuant to the Report from the Pretrial Services Office, setting forth a similar charge some twenty-one years ago, for which he was confined for three years, his 2011 conviction for loitering for prostitution and his

potential living arrangement, with his small children in the home and his significant other being

outside of the home for three days a week, this Court cannot make the required finding under the

aforesaid 18 U.S.C. §3143(a)

Moreover, even were this Defendant not to have entered a plea of guilty, Title 18 U.S.C.

§3142(e)(3)(E) would mandate confinement, given that Defendant's offense involves a minor

victim, the presumption arising therefrom is that no condition or combination of conditions will

reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of the community.

Even were the Defendant to be in the status of not yet adjudicated, the Court would find that the

above presumption has not been rebutted.

Accordingly, pursuant to the aforesaid, this Court denies the Defendant's Motion to

Revoke Detention Order (Doc. #19), without prejudice to renewal once this Court and counsel

have in their hands the anticipated mental status evaluation from the Forensic Psychiatry Center

for Western Ohio. Based upon said report, this Court may well conclude that the requested

finding can be made, by clear and convincing evidence, to wit: that there does indeed exist a

condition or combination of conditions such as to guarantee the appearance of the Defendant

UR May

when required and the safety of any other person and the community.

April 27, 2017

WALTER H. RICE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Copies to:

Counsel of record

2